

S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address: Washington, D.C. 20231

	<u> </u>		 (h
APPLICATION NO:	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/861,989

05/22/97

DILLARD

ĸ

60323

LMC1/0817

JEANNE C. SUCHODOLSKI ALLIED SIGNAL INC. - LAW DEPARTMENT 101 COLUMBIA ROAD P.O. BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN NJ 07962

EXAMINER

RETTA, Y

ART UNIT

2764

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

08/17/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/861,989

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Yehdega Retta

2764

Dillard et al.



117	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
	ny extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The ate on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of etermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be alculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
	ppellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any eriod for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Ap bu	licant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Aug 4, 2000</u> has been considered with the following elements of the application in condition for allowance:	fect,
	he proposed amendment(s):	
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	will not be entered because:	
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	 n a
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted	
▼ A	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition or allowance because:	<u>?</u>
_ ⊠	would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition or allowance because: The affidavit or declaration is not persuasive since the exhibits does not clearly explain which facts or data applicant is relying on to show completion of his invention prior to the particular date.	<u>?</u>
<u>⊠</u>	would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition or allowance because: The affidavit or declaration is not persuasive since the exhibits does not clearly explain which facts or data applicant is relying on to show completion of his invention prior to the particular date. Which facts in which chain for allowance because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the texaminer in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	<u>?</u>
<u>⊠</u>	would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition or allowance because: The affidavit or declaration is not persuasive since the exhibits does not clearly explain which facts or data applicant is relying on to show completion of his invention prior to the particular date.	<u>?</u>
<u>⊠</u>	would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition or allowance because: The affidavit or declaration is not persuasive since the exhibits does not clearly explain which facts or data applicant is relying on to show completion of his invention prior to the particular date. Which facts or data applicant is the affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by texaminer in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	<u>?</u>
<u>⊠</u>	would be allowable if submitted beparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition or allowance because: The affidavit or declaration is not persuasive since the exhibits does not clearly explain which facts or data applicant is relying on to show completion of his invention prior to the particular date. Whith finds him which solved to be affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 3-22 The proposed drawing correction filed on	<u>?</u>
	would be allowable if submitted beparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition or allowance because: The affidavit or declaration is not persuasive since the exhibits does not clearly explain which facts or data applicant is relying on to show completion of his invention prior to the particular date. Which facts which were newly raised by the affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the transminer in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims rejected: 3-22	<u>?</u>
	would be allowable if submitted beparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition or allowance because: The affidavit or declaration is not persuasive since the exhibits does not clearly explain which facts or data applicant is relying on to show completion of his invention prior to the particular date. Whith finds him which solved to be affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 3-22 The proposed drawing correction filed on	<u>?</u>
	Newly proposed or amended claims	<u>?</u>